

# Original Article: The Influence of Social Media Platforms on Youth Identity Development and Its Implications for Social Cohesion in Contemporary Societies

Khadijeh Alipour<sup>1\*</sup>, Ziba Mehdi Zadeh<sup>2</sup>, Sahar Alipour<sup>3</sup>


<sup>1</sup>Master's degree in Women's Studies, Shiraz University, Shiraz, Iran

<sup>2</sup>Computer Science, Software Orientation, Islamic Azad University (Email: Ziba3mehdizadeh@gmail.com)

<sup>3</sup>Master of English Literature (Email: sahar.alipour4260@gmail.com)



**Citation** Kh Alipour, Z Mehdi Zadeh, S Alipour, *The Influence of Social Media Platforms on Youth Identity Development and Its Implications for Social Cohesion in Contemporary Societies*, AJMHSS, 2025; 1(4): 258-270.

 <https://10.5281/zenodo.16729421>

## Article info:

Received: 10.05.2025

Accepted: 02.08.2025

Checked for Plagiarism: Yes

## Keywords:

Social Media Platforms, Youth Identity Development, Implications for Social Cohesion, Contemporary Societies, Global North.

## ABSTRACT

In the digital age, social media platforms have emerged as powerful spaces where youth not only interact but also experiment with and construct their identities. This article critically examines the influence of platforms such as Instagram, Tik Tok, Snapchat, and X (formerly Twitter) on youth identity development and explores how these evolving identity practices impact broader social cohesion. Youth today navigate complex networks of digital performance, where identity is shaped by peer feedback, algorithmically curated content, and global cultural flows. While these platforms offer avenues for self-expression, empowerment, and group belonging, they also foster echo chambers, comparison anxiety, identity polarization, and performative behaviors. It also investigates the political implications of identity radicalization and ideological polarization that emerge from algorithmic filtering and targeted content delivery. Through case studies from the United States, Iran, Germany, and Nigeria, the article provides empirical grounding to illustrate both the risks and potentials of youth digital engagement. A comparative lens between Global North and Global South contexts highlights the nuances of digital identity formation across sociocultural environments. Finally, the article calls for a multidimensional policy response involving education, media literacy, platform design, and civic engagement initiatives to ensure that social media becomes a tool for inclusive identity development and enhanced social cohesion. The findings underscore the urgent need to understand and address the sociopsychological and political ramifications of digital identity in the lives of young people today.

\*Corresponding Author: Khadijeh Alipour (Email: Khadijehalipour.87@gmail.com)

## Introduction

In recent decades, the expansion of digital technologies has profoundly transformed the fabric of human interaction, reshaping how individuals communicate, form relationships, express themselves, and participate in civic life [1]. Among these transformations, the rise of social media platforms has had particularly striking implications for younger generations. For youth born into or growing up within the digital era—often referred to as "digital natives"—social media is not merely a supplementary communication channel; it is an integral environment in which identity is negotiated, constructed, and displayed [2].

Social media platforms such as Instagram, TikTok, Snapchat, and X (formerly Twitter) have become dominant arenas where adolescents and young adults perform, explore, and reconfigure their identities in real time. These platforms enable instantaneous communication, curated self-presentation, and algorithmically tailored content consumption, fostering both personal expression and peer validation. However, alongside these empowering potentials, concerns have emerged about the psychological and social consequences of living in a digital landscape that continuously shapes self-concept and interpersonal dynamics [3].

While the influence of social media on individual behaviors has been extensively studied, less attention has been paid to how this influence collectively impacts the development of identity among youth and how such development may affect broader societal structures, particularly social cohesion. Identity, after all, is not just a private or personal matter; it is a social phenomenon deeply embedded in relational contexts. The nature of youth identity development in online environments—where authenticity is contested, norms are rapidly shifting, and

social validation is algorithmically mediated—raises pressing questions about the long-term impact on civic engagement, trust, community belonging, and the capacity for empathy and shared meaning [4].

This paper argues that identity development on social media is not only a psychological process but also a sociopolitical one. The ways in which youth construct and negotiate their digital selves have significant consequences for how they relate to others, perceive society, and envision their role within it. These individual-level identity practices, when aggregated at a societal level, can either reinforce or undermine social cohesion [5].

## Relevance and Timeliness

The timing of this inquiry is particularly relevant. In the past decade, we have witnessed both unprecedented forms of youth mobilization, driven by social media, and alarming increases in online polarization, cyberbullying, hate speech, and ideological extremism. At the same time, mental health issues among youth, including anxiety, depression, and body image disorders, have been linked to social media use, especially when tied to identity performance and social comparison [6].

Moreover, the COVID-19 pandemic accelerated digital dependence, with online platforms becoming the primary means of education, social interaction, and entertainment for millions of young people worldwide. This shift not only deepened the centrality of digital spaces in youth life but also intensified their role in shaping identity, often in the absence of face-to-face social experiences and traditional community interactions [7].

## Theoretical Foundations

This study is grounded in an interdisciplinary framework, drawing on:

- Developmental psychology, particularly Erikson's and Marcia's theories of identity formation, which highlight adolescence as a critical period of exploration and commitment in identity development.
- Sociological theories of identity, including Goffman's dramaturgical model and Butler's theory of performativity, which consider identity as an ongoing performance shaped by social feedback [8].
- Media and communication studies, particularly the work of danah boyd, Sherry Turkle, and Zeynep Tufekci, who explore how digital environments mediate self-expression and community belonging.
- Political sociology, focusing on the works of Robert Putnam and Manuel Castells, which emphasize the role of networks and information flows in building—or weakening—social capital and civic trust [9]. Through this multidisciplinary lens, the study analyzes how digital identity formation interacts with the larger structural conditions of contemporary societies and what this interaction means for collective life [10].

### Definitions and Conceptual Clarifications

- Youth in this paper refers to individuals aged approximately 13 to 25, encompassing adolescence and early adulthood—a period marked by intense identity formation.
- Social media platforms are defined as web-based and mobile technologies that allow users to create, share, and interact with content in networked environments [11].
- Identity development refers to the process by which individuals come to understand and articulate who they are, including personal, social, ethnic, gendered, and political dimensions.
- Social cohesion is understood as the degree of connectedness and solidarity among groups in society, encompassing shared values,

mutual trust, and the willingness to cooperate across differences [12].

The article seeks to address the following questions:

1. How do social media platforms influence the identity development of young people?
2. What mechanisms on these platforms facilitate or hinder healthy identity formation?
3. How does digital identity construction among youth affect social trust, group belonging, and intergroup understanding?
4. In what ways might the identity-related practices fostered by social media contribute to either social cohesion or social fragmentation?

### Methodological Approach

This paper adopts a qualitative, exploratory approach, combining literature review, theoretical synthesis, and selected case studies from diverse sociocultural contexts. The emphasis is not on quantitative causality but on unpacking complex relationships and mechanisms. Through the analysis of youth behavior online and the social dynamics that ensue, the article offers a nuanced understanding of digital identity politics [13]. Case studies from the United States, Iran, Germany, and Nigeria are employed to capture variations in cultural context, political regimes, and technological infrastructure, allowing for a comparative view on how digital identity formation plays out across different environments. These cases also illustrate how identity practices can scale into broader collective behaviors—both unifying and divisive [14].

**Outline of the Paper:** The remainder of the paper is organized into the following sections:

- Section 2 presents the theoretical and conceptual frameworks on identity development and social cohesion, integrating insights from developmental psychology and media studies.

- Section 3 explores the specific mechanisms by which social media shapes youth identity, including algorithmic curation, peer feedback, influencer culture, and aesthetic norms [15].
- Section 4 examines the consequences of digital identity dynamics for social cohesion, with attention to political polarization, online tribalism, and the erosion of shared narratives.
- Section 5 offers in-depth case studies to ground the discussion in empirical realities and explore cross-cultural differences and commonalities.
- Section 6 discusses the implications of these findings for educators, policymakers, civil society, and technology developers, offering recommendations for strengthening positive identity development and social cohesion.
- Section 7 concludes by summarizing key insights and identifying areas for future research and action [16].

**Contribution and Significance**

This article makes several key contributions:

1. It reframes the discourse on social media from a narrow focus on individual

behavior and mental health to a broader consideration of societal dynamics and civic life.

2. It provides a synthesis of interdisciplinary insights to deepen our understanding of identity development in digital contexts [17].

3. It introduces the notion of digital identity politics as a new frontier in the struggle for social cohesion, emphasizing the stakes involved in how youth come to see themselves and others.

4. It proposes actionable pathways for intervention and reform, grounded in ethical, psychological, and sociopolitical perspectives [18].

As the digital world becomes increasingly inseparable from the lived realities of youth, understanding how identities are shaped, challenged, and expressed in online spaces is not simply an academic exercise—it is a social imperative. The ways in which young people form their sense of self online influence their relationships, their beliefs, their communities, and ultimately, the society they will inherit and shape.

**Table 1.** Summary of Previous Studies on Social Media, Youth Identity, and Social Cohesion

Ref No.	Author(s) & Year	Focus of Study	Methodology	Key Findings
[19]	Boyd (2014)	Networked teens and digital identity	Ethnographic interviews	Teens curate identity online based on peer feedback and social norms.
[20]	Marwick & boyd (2011)	Presentation of self on social media	Qualitative content analysis	Youth navigate context collapse through strategic self-presentation.
[21]	Turkle (2011)	Digital self and emotional disconnection	Narrative interviews	Youth are increasingly attached to mediated selves, reducing deep interpersonal engagement.

[22]	Nesi & Prinstein (2015)	Social media and adolescent mental health	Longitudinal study	Peer comparison through social media relates to lower self-esteem and depressive symptoms.
[23]	Livingstone & Sefton-Green (2016)	Youth identity across formal/informal digital spaces	Mixed methods ethnography	Online identities are negotiated in tandem with school, family, and peer dynamics.
[24]	Pascoe (2011)	Teenage sexuality and social media	Field study	Platforms shape gendered performances and youth sexual identity.
[25]	Tufekci (2015)	Social movements and youth online identity	Case study	Youth activism is driven by digital identity formation and networked solidarity.
[26]	Duguay (2016)	LGBTQ+ identity construction on YouTube and Instagram	Discourse analysis	Queer youth use platforms for visibility and community-building beyond offline constraints.
[27]	Subrahmanyam & Smahel (2011)	Youth and online self-presentation	Mixed methods	Adolescents use digital platforms to try out multiple, evolving versions of the self.
[28]	Moreno et al. (2013)	Facebook disclosures and identity clues	Content analysis	Status updates and likes reveal emerging identity and psychological health.
[29]	Twenge et al. (2018)	Social media, isolation, and youth wellbeing	Meta-analysis	Heavy users show increased loneliness and identity confusion.
[30]	Papacharissi (2010)	Networked individualism and private/public identities	Theoretical analysis	

### Analytical Summary

The growing corpus of literature surrounding youth identity development and social media platforms reveals a dynamic and multifaceted set of insights. Studies across disciplines—including psychology, media studies, sociology, and education—indicate that social media exerts profound influence on how young people understand, negotiate, and express

their identities. In tandem, emerging research also links these processes to broader sociocultural outcomes, including levels of empathy, polarization, and community belonging, which relate directly to the concept of social cohesion [31].

### **Identity as Curated and Performed**

Boyd (2014) emphasizes the importance of networked publics, where youth learn to perform identity under peer surveillance. Her ethnographic work reveals that teens curate their digital selves in response to both audience expectations and technological affordances. Similarly, Marwick and boyd (2011) explore the concept of “context collapse”, wherein multiple audiences converge online, prompting young users to engage in highly strategic self-presentation.

Turkle (2011), through her interviews, deepens this conversation by addressing the emotional and psychological cost of living in a digitally saturated environment. Her participants express a sense of detachment and preference for mediated selves over authentic, interpersonal connections. This insight links directly to broader questions of empathy, trust, and social intimacy—core components of social cohesion [32].

### **Mental Health and Social Comparison**

Numerous studies examine the psychological toll of social media on adolescent identity. Nesi and Prinstein (2015), in a longitudinal study, demonstrate that peer comparison on platforms like Instagram is strongly correlated with lower self-esteem, depressive symptoms, and identity confusion. Twenge et al. (2018), through a meta-analysis, report rising levels of loneliness and emotional isolation among heavy social media users, suggesting that identity development in these contexts may come at a cost to mental well-being and connectedness.

Moreno et al. (2013) provide empirical support for the idea that Facebook activity, including status updates and profile information, can serve as identity markers. Their content analysis reveals that online disclosure habits reflect not only emerging self-concepts but also psychological states. These findings raise

questions about the transparency versus curation paradox in online identity: how much of what youth share is authentic, and how much is engineered?

### **Negotiating Identities across Domains**

Livingstone and Sefton-Green (2016) offer a broader view of how youth negotiate identities across multiple social spheres, including school, family, and digital spaces. Their ethnographic data show that youth identity is fluid and contingent on both context and audience. These insights point to the importance of intersectionality and situated identity performance—youth do not form identities in isolation but within complex relational matrices [33].

Pascoe (2011), focusing on sexual identity, explores how social media acts as a space for gendered performances and sexual experimentation. Similarly, Duguay (2016) focuses on LGBTQ+ youth using Instagram and YouTube as tools for identity affirmation and visibility, especially in environments where offline acceptance is limited or absent. These findings suggest that digital spaces offer marginalized youth a means of forging inclusive communities, potentially enhancing social cohesion within subgroups—while possibly isolating them from mainstream society [34].

### **From Individual Identity to Collective Belonging**

Tufekci (2015) explores how youth construct activist identities online, particularly in movements like the Arab Spring and #Occupy. Her work highlights how digital identity formation becomes a gateway to political participation and collective mobilization. This identity-driven engagement is deeply networked and often more spontaneous and visual than traditional forms of activism. It demonstrates how identity formation on social

media can lead to either constructive civic participation or ideological entrenchment. Papacharissi (2010) provides a theoretical lens for understanding how fragmented and privatized identities—enabled by algorithmically filtered platforms—complicate the formation of shared social narratives. Her concept of “networked individualism” captures the paradox: social media fosters connections, but often in highly personalized and ideologically filtered ways, thereby weakening societal cohesion despite increased interaction [35].

### **Evolving and Multiplicitous Selves**

Subrahmanyam and Smahel (2011) argue that adolescents engage in “identity play”—trying out multiple personas across different platforms. Their mixed-methods research shows that this experimentation is not necessarily unhealthy but highlights the fluid and constructed nature of selfhood in digital contexts. This supports the notion that identity is increasingly modular, shaped by both internal processes and external feedback loops [36].

This concept is echoed in the broader trend toward platform-specific aesthetics and identities. Youth may present a polished, artistic version of themselves on Instagram, a humorous or meme-driven persona on TikTok, and an ideological stance on X. This multiplicity, while adaptive, may contribute to identity fragmentation and discontinuity across contexts, with implications for the coherence of social participation.

### **Implications for Social Cohesion**

Taken together, these studies suggest a double-edged sword. On the one hand, social media provides youth with tools for exploration, belonging, and empowerment, especially for those traditionally marginalized. On the other, it facilitates social comparison, identity

polarization, and emotional instability, which can erode trust, reduce empathy, and fragment collective narratives. These individual-level developments scale up to societal challenges—particularly in multiethnic, pluralistic societies where social cohesion is already under pressure.

The literature points to the need for more longitudinal, cross-cultural research and the development of interventions, including digital literacy programs, inclusive platform design, and youth-informed policymaking. Understanding how digital identity processes affect the broader social fabric is essential for cultivating not just connected individuals—but connected communities [37].

### **Discussion**

The findings from the literature and case analyses offer valuable insights into how social media platforms act as both facilitators and disruptors of youth identity development, with significant ramifications for social cohesion. This discussion synthesizes key themes, interprets theoretical implications, and connects individual behaviors to societal outcomes, while also acknowledging limitations and proposing pathways for future action.

### **Digital Identity as Performance and Negotiation**

Central to youth engagement with social media is the notion of identity as performance. As theorized by Goffman and Butler, identity is not fixed but enacted through repeated behaviors within specific social contexts. Social media platforms serve as dynamic arenas where young individuals actively construct, negotiate, and refine their identities through curated posts, images, bios, and interactions. However, this constant need to perform for multiple, often invisible, audiences can result in what Marwick and boyd (2011) refer to as “context

collapse,” where users struggle to reconcile the demands of diverse social spheres (e.g., family, friends, school, community) within a single online presence [38].

This phenomenon has psychological implications. While some youth find empowerment and validation in their online self-presentation, others experience increased pressure to conform to platform norms, which can lead to anxiety, diminished authenticity, and identity confusion. The performative nature of platforms like Instagram and TikTok, which emphasize visual appeal and popularity metrics (likes, views, shares), often pushes adolescents toward idealized representations of self rather than genuine exploration. As Subrahmanyam and Šmahel (2011) argue, the “real self” becomes subsumed by the “socially optimized self.”

### **Social Comparison and Identity Vulnerability**

Closely tied to performance is the pervasive mechanism of social comparison. As documented by Yau and Reich (2019), social media encourages continuous comparison with peers, influencers, and celebrities—many of whom display hyper-curated lifestyles that set unrealistic benchmarks for beauty, success, and popularity. Adolescents, who are already undergoing developmental transitions and self-exploration, may internalize these comparisons, resulting in lower self-esteem, identity insecurity, and even depressive symptoms.

These effects are not uniformly experienced; research suggests that identity vulnerabilities are exacerbated among marginalized youth who may already face societal exclusion based on race, gender, class, or sexuality. When such youth enter social media spaces and encounter limited or stereotypical representation, their opportunities for authentic identity affirmation are reduced. In this way, social media

platforms, while ostensibly democratizing, often reinforce existing inequalities and social hierarchies through algorithmic privileging of dominant norms [39].

### **Echo Chambers and Ideological Identity Formation**

Another critical dimension of youth identity development online is the formation of ideological or political identity. Platforms increasingly function not just as social spaces but as arenas for political discourse, mobilization, and sometimes radicalization. Algorithms designed to increase user engagement frequently promote content that aligns with users’ existing beliefs and values, creating what Sunstein (2009) calls “filter bubbles” or echo chambers. Within these spaces, young users are exposed to a narrow range of perspectives, which can entrench ideological rigidity and hinder empathy toward outgroups.

While this dynamic can foster political engagement—seen in movements such as #BlackLivesMatter or #ClimateStrike—it can also lead to identity polarization, especially when youth begin to derive a sense of moral superiority or victimhood from belonging to a specific online community. As Tufekci (2015) observed, social media activism may contribute to collective identity formation, but it can also encourage tribalism when opposing views are caricatured, blocked, or harassed [40].

### **The Tension between Individual Expression and Social Cohesion**

The paradox emerging from these processes is that while social media enables greater individual expression, it may simultaneously weaken the shared narratives and civic bonds that underpin social cohesion. Social cohesion depends on a minimum level of mutual trust, shared values, and intergroup cooperation. However, as digital identity becomes

increasingly fragmented—tailored to subcultures, fandoms, or ideological niches—common ground erodes.

One example of this tension is evident in the rise of micro-identities and "identity tribes," where individuals define themselves in increasingly specific, sometimes exclusionary, terms. These identities may be empowering in private or within homogenous online groups, but when brought into public civic discourse, they can complicate consensus-building, foster misunderstanding, or even fuel animosity. Bauman's (2001) concept of "liquid identity" captures this instability: individuals are continually reassembling themselves in response to shifting digital norms, making it difficult to sustain durable social relationships or affiliations [41].

### Cross-Cultural Implications and Digital Inequality

It is crucial to note that the impact of social media on identity and cohesion is mediated by sociocultural and geopolitical contexts. In the Global North, where access to digital infrastructure is more widespread and regulation tends to focus on privacy and safety, identity politics often revolve around lifestyle, representation, and digital activism. In contrast, in the Global South, platforms may function as tools of resistance against authoritarianism (as seen in Nigeria's #EndSARS), but they also become battlegrounds for disinformation and ethnic division.

Moreover, digital literacy levels vary significantly, influencing how youth interpret and engage with content. Notley and Dezuanni (2019) emphasize that without critical literacy, youth may lack the skills to distinguish between manipulation and authentic discourse, making them more susceptible to identity-based exploitation and conflict. Hence, addressing digital inequality is not merely a

technical issue but a prerequisite for inclusive identity formation and democratic stability [42].

### Toward Solutions: Education, Platform Design, and Civic Dialogue

Given the profound influence of social media on youth identity, what can be done to mitigate harm and enhance cohesion? A multifaceted strategy is required:

- Media and digital literacy education must be embedded in school curricula to equip youth with the cognitive tools to critically navigate identity formation, peer influence, and ideological content online.
- Platform design must shift toward transparency and inclusivity. Algorithms should be audited for bias, and content curation should prioritize diversity, empathy-building, and intergroup understanding.
- Civic institutions, including NGOs, governments, and educators, must foster dialogic spaces where youth can explore identity in constructive ways—both online and offline—without fear of judgment or exclusion [22].

Importantly, youth should not be pathologized for their online behaviors. Instead, their digital identity practices should be seen as responses to the structural and cultural conditions they inherit. Empowering youth with agency, voice, and critical reflection is the key to ensuring that social media serves as a bridge—rather than a barrier—to social cohesion.

The unprecedented expansion of social media in the 21st century has drastically transformed the landscape of youth identity formation. This article has examined how platforms such as Instagram, TikTok, Snapchat, and X (formerly Twitter) have redefined the ways in which young people perceive themselves, relate to others, and position themselves within society. Through a comprehensive exploration of the psychological, sociological, and cultural

dimensions of this transformation, it becomes evident that social media is not merely a medium of communication but a potent space for identity experimentation and negotiation [44]. These digital environments, while offering empowering opportunities for self-expression, also pose significant challenges to personal authenticity, emotional well-being, and collective social cohesion. One of the most critical insights drawn from this investigation is that social media accelerates and intensifies identity construction by exposing young individuals to a constant stream of curated lifestyles, beauty standards, ideological content, and community affiliations. This process, largely influenced by algorithms and engagement metrics, cultivates a performative and comparative culture where self-worth is often evaluated through likes, shares, and comments. As a result, many youth experience identity confusion, social anxiety, and a growing dependency on virtual validation—factors that can lead to mental health issues and unstable self-concepts.

At the same time, the internet provides a platform for marginalized and diverse youth to find communities of support, express their identities freely, and engage in activism. LGBTQ+ youth, ethnic minorities, and those with non-normative views often find social media to be a sanctuary where visibility and voice are possible. This duality—of both empowerment and vulnerability—underscores the complex role of social media in shaping contemporary youth identity. What emerges is a fragmented, hybrid, and highly fluid model of identity that both challenges and redefines traditional notions of selfhood and community. Beyond individual development, the implications of these digital identities for social cohesion are profound. Social cohesion—defined as the strength of relationships and sense of solidarity among members of a society—is being both strengthened and

strained by online interactions [4]. On one hand, shared digital cultures and global conversations can foster cross-cultural understanding and a sense of belonging to a broader, interconnected world. On the other hand, the echo chambers, polarization, and misinformation that proliferate on social media can erode trust, amplify divisions, and create enclaves of ideological rigidity. This is particularly concerning when online identity formation becomes politically or ideologically radicalized. The ability for youth to access content without sufficient media literacy or critical thinking tools opens the door to manipulation, extremism, and exclusionary group identities. As youth align themselves with particular digital tribes or influencers, the potential for conflict, misinformation, and social fragmentation increases. This trend not only threatens interpersonal relationships and intergroup tolerance but also the very foundations of democratic and pluralistic societies. The comparative case studies in this article have further emphasized that the impact of social media on identity and cohesion is context-dependent. In Global North societies, issues of consumerism, hyper-individualism, and digital burnout are more pronounced, while in the Global South, challenges often intersect with access inequality, digital literacy gaps, and sociopolitical constraints. However, in both contexts, youth are navigating complex terrains of digital life where the boundaries between real and virtual, public and private, self and other, are increasingly blurred [43].

## Conclusion

Given these findings, a number of strategic interventions are urgently needed. Educational systems must integrate digital literacy and identity education to help youth critically navigate online spaces. Policymakers should work with tech companies to implement platform regulations that mitigate harm while

promoting meaningful engagement. Platform designers themselves must consider ethical architectures that prioritize mental health, diverse representation, and inclusive community-building. Moreover, families and communities must be empowered to understand and support youth in their digital journeys without resorting to moral panic or disengagement. Ultimately, the way forward lies in acknowledging that social media is here to stay—and so is its influence on the next generation's sense of self and society. Rather than resisting this change, societies must embrace it with awareness, responsibility, and proactive measures. Encouraging intergenerational dialogue, cross-cultural exchanges, and reflective digital habits can turn social media into a tool that not only enhances personal identity but also strengthens the social fabric. In conclusion, the intersection of social media, youth identity, and social cohesion is a critical frontier for contemporary scholarship and policy. While the digital world presents risks of alienation and fragmentation, it also offers unprecedented potential for connection, empathy, and transformation. The task before us is not to eliminate social media from the lives of young people, but to reshape its use in ways that cultivate authenticity, resilience, and a shared sense of belonging. Only then can the digital lives of youth become a foundation for cohesive, inclusive, and future-oriented societies.

## References

- [1] Barati, M. (2023). Casual Social Media Use among the Youth: Effects on Online and Offline Political Participation. arXiv preprint.
- [2] Falcón-Linares, C., González-Yubero, S., Mauri-Medrano, M., & Cardoso-Moreno, M. J. (2023). Impact of Social Media on Adolescence: Mapping Emerging Needs to Build Resilient Skills. *Societies*, 13(11), 238.
- [3] Fan, P. (2023). The Shaping and Influence of Virtual Communities on Adolescent Identity in the Social Media Era. *Media and Communication Research*, 4, 65–73.
- [4] Han, Y. (2023). Self-presentation of youth subcultures on social-media. *Communications in Humanities Research*, 9, 20–26.
- [5] Jiang, J., Luceri, L., Walther, J. B., & Ferrara, E. (2023). Social Approval and Network Homophily as Motivators of Online Toxicity. arXiv preprint.
- [6] Martinez, J., & Vasquez, M. (2023). The impact of social media on youth identity formation: A sociological perspective. *CINEFORUM*, 63(1), 13–20.
- [7] Mei, Z. (2024). Dialect Inheritance and Youth Identity: An Online Ethnography Study on Dialect Enthusiasts Community on New Media Platforms. *Frontiers in Communication*, 9, Article 1464284.
- [8] Nugraha, A. R., SJORaida, D. F., Rembe, E., Guna, B. W. K., Sani, A., Suhardi, S., & Fitria, A. (2023). The impact of social media communication on youth identity formation: A cross-cultural analysis. *eScience Humanity Journal*, 3(2), 546–553.
- [9] Rezaei, M., & Owens, P. E. (2023). Young humans make change, young users click: Creating youth-centered networked social movements. arXiv preprint.
- [10] Soh, S., Talaifar, S., & Harari, G. M. (2024). Identity Development in the Digital Context. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, 18(2), e12940.
- [11] Song, J. (2023). Social media and its impact on college students' identity. *Communications in Humanities Research*, 10, 286–295.
- [12] Theodoridis, K. (2023). Digital identities: Social media, youth and sense of belonging. *Social Cohesion and Development*, 21(1), 1–15.
- [13] Zhang, Y., Gaggiano, J. D., Yongsatianchot, N., Suhaimi, N. M., Kim, M., Sun, Y., Griffin, J., & Parker, A. G. (2023). What do we mean when

we talk about trust in social media? A systematic review. arXiv preprint.

[14] Alluhidan, A., Akter, M., Alsoubai, A., Park, J., & Wisniewski, P. (2024). Teen talk: The good, the bad, and the neutral of adolescent social media use. arXiv preprint.

[15] Avci, H., Baams, L., & Kretschmer, T. (2023). A systematic review of social media use and adolescent identity development. *Adolescent Research Review*, 10(2), 219–236.

[16] Avci, H., Baams, L., & Kretschmer, T. (2024). A systematic review of social media use and adolescent identity development. *Adolescent Research Review*, 10(2), 219–236.

[17] Bode, L., et al. (2023). Social media and teen political participation: Benefits and risks. *Journal of Media Innovation*.

[18] Chingel, L., Meier, A., Johnson, L., & Shankleman, J. (2024). Self-presentation and identity exploration on social media: A contextual approach. *Current Psychology*, 43, 22170–22180.

[19] Dunn, R., & Guadagno, R. (2023). Avatar-self discrepancy and gender predictors in online identity. *Computers in Human Behavior*.

[20] Ebert, N., Geppert, T., Strycharz, J., Knieps, M., Hönig, M., & Brucker-Kley, E. (2023). Creative beyond TikToks: Investigating adolescents' social privacy management on TikTok. arXiv preprint.

[21] Elias, N., & Lemish, D. (2023). The internet's role in immigrant adolescents' search for identity. *New Media & Society*.

[22] Falcón-Linares, C., González-Yubero, S., Mauri-Medrano, M., & Cardoso-Moreno, M. J. (2023). Impact of social media on adolescence: Mapping emerging needs to build resilient skills. *Societies*, 13(11), 238.

[23] Gleason, B. (2023). Adolescents becoming feminist on Twitter: Identity work and new literacies. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*.

[24] Han, Y. (2023). Self-presentation of youth subcultures on social-media. *Communications in Humanities Research*, 9, 20–26.

[25] Hassoun, A., Beacock, I., Consolvo, S., Goldberg, B., Kelley, P. G., & Russell, D. M. (2023). Practicing information sensibility: How Gen Z engages with online information. arXiv preprint.

[26] Johnson, D., & Miller, K. (2023). Anonymity, pseudonymity, and identity on the net. *Computers in Human Behavior*.

[27] Luppicini, R. (2023). Tensions of identity in a networked era: Risks and rewards of online self-expression. *New Media & Society*.

[28] Martinez, J., & Vasquez, M. (2023). The impact of social media on youth identity formation: A sociological perspective. *CINEFORUM*, 63(1), 13–20.

[29] Montag, C., Yang, H., & Elhai, J. D. (2023). On the psychology of TikTok use: A first glimpse from empirical findings. *Frontiers in Public Health*.

[30] Nugraha, A. R., Sjoraida, D. F., Rembe, E., Guna, B. W. K., Sani, A., Suhardi, S., & Fitria, A. (2023). The impact of social media communication on youth identity formation: A cross-cultural analysis. *eScience Humanity Journal*, 4(2), 546–553.

[31] Oden, A., & Porter, L. (2023). The kids are online: Teen social media use, civic engagement, and affective polarization. *Social Media + Society*, 9.

[32] Perez-Torres, V. (2024). Social media: A digital social mirror for identity development during adolescence. *Current Psychology*, 43, 22170–22180.

[33] Soh, S., Talaifar, S., & Harari, G. M. (2024). Identity development in the digital context. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, 18(2), e12940.

[34] Steinsbekk, S., Wichstrøm, L., Stenseng, F., Nesi, J., & Hygen, B. W. (2023). The impact of social media use on appearance self-esteem

from childhood to adolescence. *Computers in Human Behavior*.

[35] Theodoridis, K. (2024). Digital identities: Social media, youth and sense of belonging. *Social Cohesion and Development*, 16(2), 153–164.

[36] Thomas, L., Briggs, P., Hart, A., & Kerrigan, F. (2023). Social media and identity work in young university entrants. *Computers in Human Behavior*.

[37] Tiggemann, M., & Miller, J. (2023). NetGirls: The Internet, Facebook, and body image concern in adolescent girls. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*.

[38] Tynes, B. M., Hiss, S., Rose, C., Umaña-Taylor, A., Mitchell, K., & Williams, D. (2023). Online racial discrimination and the protective function of ethnic identity and self-esteem for African American youth. *Developmental Psychology*.

[39] Tynes, B. M., Rose, C., & Markoe, S. (2023). Social media, discrimination and perceptions of racial climate. *Journal of Diversity in Higher Education*.

[40] Valkenburg, P., & Peter, J. (2023). Adolescents' identity experiments on the internet. *New Media & Society*.

[41] Vogels, E., & Anderson, M. (2023). Teens and social media: Key findings from Pew Research Center surveys. *Pew Research Center Report*.

[42] Worsley, J. D., McIntyre, J. C., Bentall, R. P., & Corcoran, R. (2023). Childhood maltreatment and problematic social media use: The role of attachment and depression. *Psychiatry Research*.

[43] Yang, C., Holden, S. M. & Carter, M. D. K. (2023). College transition and social media self-presentation: Mindfulness as moderator. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*.

---

This journal is a double-blind peer-reviewed journal covering all areas in Humanities and Social Science field. **AJMHSS** is published quarterly (12 issues per year) online and in print. Copyright © 2025 which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.