Advanced Journal of Management, Humanity and Social Science (AJMHSS)

2025, Volume 1, Issue 7

Original Article: Comparative Literary Studies on Capitalist Exploitation of Nature: A Marxist Perspective

Abdul Majid Sarwari[1]•, HU Xinying[2]

[1] Master's Student, International and Regional Studies, School of Foreign Studies, China Three Gorges University, Yichang, Hubei 443002, China

[2]Associate Professor, Master's Supervisor Director of the International Education Center, School of Foreign Studies, China

Use yor device to scan and read the article online

Citation *AM Sarwari, HU Xinying,* ***Comparative Literary Studies on Capitalist Exploitation of Nature: A Marxist Perspective, AJMHSS,*** 2025; 1(7): 436-448.

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17250943

Article info:

Received: 14.06.2025

Accepted: 16.07.2025

Checked for Plagiarism: Yes

Keywords:

Comparative Literature, Capitalism, Environmental Crisis, Marxi

A B S T R A C T

This study explores how comparative literature can illuminate the complex relationship between capitalism, nature, and environmental crisis through a Marxist analytical framework. It argues that literary texts across different historical periods and cultural contexts not only reflect the capitalist exploitation of nature but also serve as critical tools for understanding and resisting it. By examining a diverse range of literary works—from 19th-century industrial novels to contemporary postcolonial and ecological narratives—this research identifies recurring themes of commodification, industrial expansion, and ecological degradation. Literature reveals how capitalist systems transform nature into a resource for profit, leading to profound social and environmental consequences. Furthermore, the study engages with Marxist concepts such as the "metabolic rift" to interpret how capitalism disrupts the natural balance between human society and the environment. Comparative analysis highlights the global dimension of these issues, showing how writers from various literary traditions critique the exploitative logic of capitalism and expose its ecological consequences. Additionally, many texts offer alternative visions of human-nature relations, suggesting possibilities for resistance and sustainable coexistence beyond capitalist paradigms. Ultimately, this paper demonstrates that literature is not merely a passive reflection of material reality but an active participant in shaping ecological consciousness. By placing literary works in comparative dialogue, we gain deeper insight into how cultural narratives can critique dominant economic systems and inspire transformative approaches to environmental justice. This Marxist literary approach underscores the vital role of the humanities in addressing contemporary ecological crises and imagining more equitable and sustainable futures.

Introduction

The growing urgency of ecological crises in the twenty-first century has brought new attention to the interconnections between literature, capitalism, and the natural environment [1]. While environmental studies often rely on scientific data and political analysis, literature provides a cultural archive that documents, interprets, and critiques humanity's relationship with nature under different historical conditions [2].

Corresponding Author:* ***Abdul Majid Sarwari* *(Email: sarwarimajid65@gmail.com)*

From the rise of industrial modernity in nineteenth-century Europe to contemporary postcolonial and global literatures, writers across traditions have depicted the destructive consequences of capitalist exploitation of nature. A Marxist perspective offers a particularly illuminating framework for understanding these literary representations, since it situates environmental degradation within the broader dynamics of class struggle, commodification, and global economic expansion. In this sense, literature becomes both a mirror of social and ecological realities and a site of resistance to capitalist ideologies.

Comparative literature, as a field, is especially well suited to this inquiry. By crossing national, linguistic, and cultural boundaries, comparative literary studies allow scholars to trace how the exploitation of nature under capitalism appears as a global phenomenon rather than a localized or isolated one. The extraction of coal in nineteenth-century Britain, the devastation of forests in colonial Africa, and the poisoning of rivers in contemporary South Asia all belong to the same systemic logic of capital accumulation. Literature from these regions testifies to the material and cultural impact of this logic, revealing how the exploitation of natural resources is inseparable from the exploitation of human labor. Through comparative analysis, it becomes possible to identify recurring motifs of environmental destruction, alienation, and resistance, while also recognizing the distinct historical and cultural inflections of these motifs in different literary traditions [3].

At the theoretical core of this study lies Karl Marx's insight into the capitalist mode of production. Marx argued that capitalism not only alienates workers from their labor but also produces a "metabolic rift" between human society and the natural world. By prioritizing the endless accumulation of capital, the system disrupts the cyclical processes of nature, extracting resources at unsustainable rates and polluting the very conditions of life. This rift, as Marxist ecocritics have noted, is not merely an economic problem but a profound ecological one, with consequences that reverberate across generations. Literature provides an invaluable means of exploring this rift, as writers often articulate its human and environmental costs in ways that statistical or scientific accounts cannot.

Nineteenth-century industrial literature offers an important starting point for this discussion. Works such as Charles Dickens's *Hard Times* or Émile Zola's *Germinal* vividly portray the transformation of landscapes, the pollution of air and water, and the dehumanization of workers in industrial settings. These texts reveal how capitalist development reshapes both natural and social environments, subordinating them to the imperatives of profit [4].

The depiction of smoke-filled skies, toxic rivers, and exhausted laborers speaks not only to the social inequalities of industrial capitalism but also to its ecological violence. Such literature highlights the intertwined exploitation of labor and nature, pointing to the systemic roots of what we now recognize as environmental crisis.

In colonial and postcolonial literatures, the critique of capitalist exploitation takes on additional dimensions. Here, the extraction of natural resources is tied to imperial domination and global inequality. Writers such as Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o, Chinua Achebe, and Eduardo Galeano expose how capitalist expansion into the Global South depended on the violent appropriation of both land and labor.

The forced cultivation of cash crops, the mining of precious minerals, and the logging of forests devastated local ecosystems while enriching colonial powers and global capital. Literature from these contexts thus reveals the double exploitation at the heart of capitalism: the exploitation of colonized peoples and the exploitation of nature itself. Comparative analysis shows how these experiences resonate across different regions, demonstrating the systemic and transnational character of capitalist extraction [5].

Contemporary literature continues this critical engagement by confronting the global ecological crises of the present. Climate fiction (or "cli-fi"), for example, imagines scenarios of environmental collapse, mass displacement, and post-apocalyptic survival. Writers such as Margaret Atwood, Amitav Ghosh, and Octavia Butler dramatize the catastrophic consequences of unchecked capitalist growth, challenging the ideology of infinite progress that underpins the global economy. These speculative narratives not only warn of possible futures but also interrogate the cultural values and political systems that have brought humanity to the brink of ecological catastrophe. By envisioning alternative ways of relating to nature—whether through indigenous knowledge, collective resistance, or post-capitalist imaginaries—contemporary literature contributes to a critical ecological consciousness that resists capitalist exploitation [6].

The Marxist framework is crucial here because it resists depoliticized or purely aesthetic readings of ecological

literature. While ecocriticism has often emphasized the beauty of nature or the ethical responsibility of individuals, Marxist analysis insists on situating environmental crisis within structures of power, production, and ideology. It highlights how the destruction of ecosystems is not an accidental byproduct of human activity but a structural feature of capitalist accumulation. Comparative literature, when combined with Marxist theory, thus enables a more rigorous and politically engaged analysis of environmental representation. It uncovers how texts from different traditions share an underlying critique of capitalism while also articulating culturally specific experiences of ecological degradation [7].

Another important dimension of this study is the recognition that literature does not simply reflect environmental realities but also shapes them. Cultural narratives about nature influence how societies understand and respond to ecological crises. For instance, the Romantic tradition in Europe idealized untouched landscapes as sites of purity and transcendence, while simultaneously overlooking the industrial exploitation that made such landscapes increasingly rare. Similarly, colonial narratives often portrayed conquered lands as "empty" or "waste," justifying their appropriation for capitalist use. Comparative literary studies can reveal how these ideological constructions of nature serve capitalist interests, while counter-narratives expose their violence and propose alternative ways of imagining the human–nature relationship.

This paper also emphasizes that the capitalist exploitation of nature cannot be separated from issues of class, race, and gender. Environmental destruction disproportionately affects marginalized communities, both within and across nations. Literature often gives voice to these experiences, whether in the form of working-class narratives of industrial pollution, postcolonial accounts of resource extraction, or feminist eco-literature that highlights the gendered dimensions of ecological crisis. A comparative Marxist approach thus not only illuminates the exploitation of nature but also situates it within broader struggles for social justice.

In sum, the introduction of this study establishes three key arguments. First, literature across diverse traditions consistently represents and critiques the capitalist exploitation of nature, revealing its systemic and global character. Second, a Marxist perspective provides a critical theoretical lens for analyzing these representations, situating them within the dynamics of class struggle, commodification, and ecological degradation. Third, comparative literature offers a methodological framework that highlights both the shared and culturally specific aspects of environmental crisis under capitalism. Together, these arguments underscore the significance of literary studies for understanding the environmental challenges of our time and for imagining more just and sustainable futures.

By placing literature in dialogue with Marxist theory, this paper contributes to the growing field of eco-Marxist criticism and to broader debates about capitalism, ecology, and cultural representation. It argues that literature is not merely a passive reflection of environmental realities but an active participant in the struggle to redefine humanity's relationship with nature. In an era of accelerating climate change, biodiversity loss, and environmental injustice, such critical engagement is not only academically valuable but also socially urgent [8].

Theoretical Framework: Marxism and Nature

The analysis of capitalist exploitation of nature through the lens of comparative literature and Marxist theory reveals a dynamic and urgent intellectual terrain, one that bridges the humanities, political economy, and environmental studies. Literature, across cultures and historical contexts, provides a uniquely rich site for uncovering the multiple dimensions of ecological crisis: it documents lived experience, reflects ideological structures, and imagines alternatives beyond the destructive imperatives of capital. A Marxist framework allows us to see not only the aesthetic qualities of these texts but also their embeddedness in systems of production and power. By situating environmental degradation within capitalism's logic of endless accumulation, Marxism offers a critical perspective that connects human alienation, class exploitation, and ecological destruction into a single analytical framework.

What emerges from this study is the recognition that environmental crisis is not an external or accidental consequence of capitalist modernity; rather, it is internal to its mode of production. The capitalist drive to commodify all aspects of life—land, water, forests, minerals, and even human labor—generates an ecological contradiction that undermines the very conditions of survival. Marx's notion of the "metabolic rift" provides a foundational concept here, highlighting the systemic disruption of natural cycles caused by

capitalist production. Comparative literature illustrates this rift in vivid and culturally diverse ways: through the smokestacks of nineteenth-century industrial Europe, the deforestation of colonial Africa, and the dystopian landscapes of contemporary climate fiction. Each case underscores the same principle: under capitalism, nature is reduced to raw material, stripped of intrinsic value, and subjected to the relentless logic of profit.

A second major insight is the global character of ecological exploitation. By using comparative literature, we move beyond narrow national frameworks and observe how similar dynamics of environmental destruction appear in different historical and cultural settings. Industrial novels in England, postcolonial narratives in Africa and Latin America, and contemporary eco-critical fiction in Asia and North America all testify to the planetary scope of capitalism's ecological crisis. This comparative approach resists the illusion that environmental issues are local or exceptional; instead, it emphasizes their systemic roots in global capitalism. At the same time, comparative literature reveals the diversity of voices and perspectives that challenge these dynamics, offering counter-narratives that emerge from specific cultural and historical contexts. Thus, literature does not merely provide evidence of ecological crisis but also acts as a global archive of resistance and imagination [9].

Third, literature highlights the intimate entanglement of ecological exploitation with social inequality. Capitalist destruction of nature disproportionately affects marginalized communities: the working class exposed to industrial pollution, indigenous peoples dispossessed of their land, or women burdened with the gendered labor of environmental survival. A Marxist perspective insists that these inequalities are not incidental but structural. By reading literature comparatively, we can trace how class, race, gender, and colonial histories shape the experience of ecological crisis. This insight complicates simplistic narratives of "humanity versus nature," replacing them with an understanding of differentiated impacts and struggles. In doing so, literature becomes a vehicle for articulating ecological justice as inseparable from social justice.

Furthermore, literature demonstrates that ecological crisis is not only material but also ideological. The capitalist worldview constructs nature as an external resource to be dominated, appropriated, and exploited. Romantic depictions of wilderness, colonial representations of "empty" lands, and technocratic visions of progress all contribute to an ideological framework that legitimizes exploitation. Comparative literary studies reveal how these ideological constructions are reproduced across different traditions but also contested within them. Writers challenge dominant narratives by portraying nature not as a passive backdrop but as an active presence, sometimes even as a subject in its own right. From indigenous cosmologies that emphasize interconnectedness to speculative fictions that imagine post-capitalist ecologies, literature offers powerful counter-narratives that destabilize capitalist ideology.

The conclusions of this study also speak to the future of comparative literary research itself. As ecological crises intensify, the humanities must confront their responsibility to address urgent global issues. Comparative literature, by virtue of its transnational and interdisciplinary scope, is particularly well positioned to contribute to environmental humanities. A Marxist orientation strengthens this contribution by ensuring that analysis does not remain at the level of aesthetic appreciation but engages with the material realities of production, power, and resistance. This approach reaffirms the political relevance of literary studies and challenges the notion that literature is an isolated cultural artifact. Instead, literature is recognized as an active participant in historical struggles over ecology and justice [10].

Finally, this research underscores the importance of hope and collective agency. While literature often depicts devastation and loss, it also embodies resilience and resistance. From working-class solidarity in industrial novels to indigenous revival in postcolonial texts and imaginative alternatives in contemporary eco-fiction, literature refuses to accept ecological collapse as inevitable. A Marxist perspective reminds us that capitalism is not a natural or eternal system but a historical formation that can be transformed. Literature, by representing both the crises and the possibilities of change, contributes to this transformative imagination.

In conclusion, the comparative study of literature from a Marxist perspective illuminates the capitalist exploitation of nature as both a global system and a lived experience. It reveals the ecological contradictions of capitalism, the social inequalities embedded in environmental crisis, and the ideological battles over the meaning of nature. At the same time, it demonstrates literature's power to resist, critique, and

reimagine. In an era defined by ecological precarity, this intersection of literature, Marxism, and environmental analysis is not only intellectually compelling but also socially urgent. Literature does not simply reflect the world; it intervenes in it, shaping how we understand our relationship to nature and how we imagine alternatives to capitalist destruction [11].

The task ahead for scholars, activists, and readers is to continue building on this critical dialogue. By engaging with literature as both a cultural product and a site of resistance, we can deepen our understanding of the capitalist roots of ecological crisis and strengthen our collective capacity to envision and fight for more sustainable, equitable, and just futures. In this effort, Marxist comparative literary studies offer not only theoretical insight but also practical hope: the recognition that another world is possible, and that literature remains a vital tool in imagining and creating it.

Case Studies in Comparative Literature

Industrialization in 19th-Century European Literature

The exploration of nineteenth-century European literature within the context of industrialization has revealed powerful insights into the ways literature documents, critiques, and resists the capitalist exploitation of nature. By analyzing works such as Charles Dickens's *Hard Times*, Émile Zola's *Germinal*, Elizabeth Gaskell's *North and South*, and other industrial novels, this case study demonstrates that literature serves not only as a cultural reflection of industrial capitalism but also as a critical site where its ecological and social contradictions are exposed. Through a Marxist lens, it becomes clear that industrialization in Europe was not simply a technological or economic transformation but a profound restructuring of human relationships with both labor and the natural world.

At the heart of nineteenth-century industrial literature lies a recurring motif of environmental degradation. Writers vividly describe the polluted rivers, smoke-filled skies, and degraded landscapes that accompanied rapid industrial growth. These images are more than atmospheric details; they are representations of the capitalist transformation of nature into a resource to be consumed. Dickens's portrayal of Coketown, with its "interminable serpents of smoke" and lifeless monotony, is emblematic of this shift. Nature is no longer an independent or cyclical force but is subordinated to industrial production, commodified, and reshaped according to the logic of profit. From a Marxist perspective, this reflects the systemic process of commodification—where the land, air, and even human bodies are absorbed into the circuits of capital [12].

Equally central is the representation of human alienation, which appears in tandem with environmental destruction. In Zola's *Germinal*, miners are portrayed as extensions of the machines they operate, their lives dictated by the rhythms of extraction and profit. Their alienation from the natural environment is profound: the mines consume their labor and health, while the landscape above is scarred and destabilized. Marx's theory of alienation helps illuminate this literary portrayal, as workers are estranged not only from the products of their labor but also from the natural world that is simultaneously their means of subsistence and survival. In these texts, the degradation of nature parallels the dehumanization of labor, demonstrating the interconnected exploitation at the core of capitalist industrialization.

Another striking feature of this literary tradition is the way it highlights the tension between capitalist ideology and lived experience. Industrial novels often expose the ideological myths of progress, prosperity, and modernity that were used to justify industrial expansion. While industrialists and political leaders celebrated technological achievement and economic growth, literature provided a counter-narrative that revealed the human and ecological costs of this so-called progress. For instance, Gaskell's *North and South* portrays both the struggles of working-class communities and the polluted industrial towns in which they lived, suggesting that industrial prosperity was unevenly distributed and built upon systemic exploitation. In this sense, literature functions as a form of resistance, unveiling the ideological contradictions of capitalism [13].

Comparative analysis strengthens these insights by situating English, French, and other European texts within a shared industrial context. While Dickens, Gaskell, and Zola wrote from distinct national traditions, their works converge on similar themes: the commodification of nature, the alienation of labor, and the destructive pursuit of profit. These parallels underscore the systemic nature of capitalist exploitation, demonstrating that industrialization was not confined to one nation but represented a broader European—and ultimately global—transformation. By comparing across traditions, we see how the capitalist

drive reshaped different cultural contexts in strikingly similar ways, affirming the Marxist view of capitalism as a totalizing system.

Yet, literature also points toward possibilities of resistance and transformation. Zola's *Germinal*, for example, does not end with the total defeat of workers but with the symbolic image of seeds germinating beneath the earth, hinting at future revolts and alternative modes of life. Dickens's novels often gesture toward moral responsibility and social reform, while Gaskell's narratives imagine reconciliation and mutual recognition between classes. Though these gestures vary in radicalism, they share an impulse to resist the total domination of capitalist ideology. From a Marxist ecological perspective, such moments of resistance are significant because they suggest that literature can cultivate ecological and social consciousness, inspiring collective critique of capitalist exploitation.

This case study also underscores the relevance of nineteenth-century literature for contemporary ecological debates. The environmental crises of the twenty-first century—climate change, pollution, deforestation, and biodiversity loss—are rooted in the same capitalist logic that nineteenth-century writers were already documenting. The smoke of Coketown has its echoes in modern urban smog; the exhausted coal mines of Zola's France resonate with the extractive economies that continue to ravage the Global South. By revisiting industrial literature, we recognize that ecological crisis is not new but is a structural feature of capitalism, one that has been present since the onset of industrial modernity. Literature thus provides both historical depth and cultural continuity to contemporary eco-Marxist critique [14].

Moreover, this study reveals the methodological value of combining Marxist theory with comparative literature. A purely historical or economic analysis of industrialization might document production statistics, technological innovations, or labor movements. But literature adds another dimension: it renders visible the affective, cultural, and experiential dimensions of ecological and social transformation. The polluted skies are not just data points but lived realities that shape human consciousness and cultural expression. Comparative literary studies amplify this insight by highlighting patterns and differences across contexts, showing how a shared capitalist system generated diverse but interconnected cultural responses.

The conclusion of this case study, then, is twofold. On the one hand, nineteenth-century industrial literature provides compelling evidence of how capitalism exploits both nature and labor, aligning closely with Marxist theories of alienation, commodification, and the metabolic rift. On the other hand, these texts offer critical resistance, articulating alternative visions of community, justice, and ecological balance. By placing these works in comparative dialogue, we can see how literature anticipates and enriches contemporary debates on capitalism and ecology.

In a broader sense, the analysis underscores the enduring relevance of literature for ecological critique. While policymakers, scientists, and economists debate solutions to the climate crisis, literature continues to provide a cultural archive of critique and imagination. The nineteenth-century industrial novel is not simply a relic of the past but a resource for the present, reminding us that the contradictions of capitalism have long been visible, contested, and resisted. A Marxist comparative approach ensures that we recognize these texts not merely as aesthetic artifacts but as active participants in historical struggles over nature, labor, and justice.

Ultimately, this case study demonstrates that literature is indispensable to the struggle for ecological consciousness and social transformation. By dramatizing the capitalist exploitation of nature during industrialization, nineteenth-century writers contributed to a cultural tradition of resistance that continues to inspire critical thought today. Their work reminds us that the ecological crisis is inseparable from the capitalist system and that imagining alternatives requires both historical memory and cultural creativity. In this way, industrial literature speaks directly to our present moment, offering not only a record of past exploitation but also a call to resist, critique, and reimagine the human relationship with nature in the face of ongoing capitalist destruction [15].

Postcolonial Perspectives on Extractivism

The examination of postcolonial literature through the lens of extractivism reveals the depth and persistence of capitalist exploitation of both nature and human life under imperial and neocolonial regimes. Unlike the industrial literature of nineteenth-century Europe, which focused largely on the transformation of local environments under capitalism, postcolonial narratives situate environmental destruction within the broader dynamics of colonial conquest, global inequality, and

racialized exploitation. In this sense, postcolonial literature expands the critique of capitalism by showing how extractive economies are inseparable from imperial domination and the violent restructuring of social and ecological landscapes in the Global South. A Marxist perspective provides the theoretical tools to interpret these literary representations, uncovering the systemic logics that underlie extractivism and demonstrating how literature functions as both testimony and resistance [16].

One of the clearest insights from postcolonial narratives is the recognition that extractivism is never a purely economic process but a deeply cultural and political one. In texts such as Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o's *Petals of Blood*, Chinua Achebe's *Anthills of the Savannah*, and Eduardo Galeano's *Open Veins of Latin America*, the exploitation of land and resources is intertwined with the exploitation of people and the suppression of indigenous knowledge systems. The forced cultivation of cash crops, the relentless mining of minerals, and the deforestation of ancestral lands are not isolated events but manifestations of a global capitalist order that thrives on dispossession. Literature makes these dynamics visible by dramatizing their impact on local communities: displacement, hunger, cultural erosion, and ecological collapse. By foregrounding these lived experiences, postcolonial texts provide a human and environmental dimension often absent in purely economic or political accounts.

A second major theme is the continuity between colonial and postcolonial forms of extraction. Although formal colonial rule has ended in much of the Global South, literature demonstrates that neocolonial structures perpetuate the same patterns of exploitation. Multinational corporations, international financial institutions, and global trade agreements continue to dictate how land and resources are used, often reproducing colonial hierarchies under a new guise. For example, in Latin American literature, writers depict how foreign corporations dominate mining and oil extraction, leaving behind polluted rivers, poisoned soils, and dispossessed communities. African postcolonial narratives similarly expose how so-called "development" projects mask the extraction of wealth for global markets at the expense of local well-being. Comparative literature underscores these continuities, showing that the ecological crises of the postcolonial world cannot be understood apart from the historical trajectory of imperial capitalism [17].

This perspective resonates strongly with Marxist theories of imperialism and world-systems. As Marxist thinkers such as Rosa Luxemburg and later Immanuel Wallerstein have argued, capitalism expands by incorporating peripheral regions into global markets, extracting surplus value not only from workers but also from entire ecosystems. Postcolonial literature provides a cultural articulation of this process, exposing the human costs of global dependency and ecological devastation. By analyzing these texts comparatively, we recognize that extractivism is not a marginal phenomenon but a structural feature of capitalism's global expansion. Whether in the oil fields of Nigeria, the mines of Bolivia, or the plantations of the Caribbean, the logic remains the same: nature and labor are commodified for the enrichment of distant centers of power.

Yet, postcolonial literature also serves as a site of resistance, challenging the ideological narratives that justify extractivism. Colonial discourse often portrayed lands as "empty" or "underutilized," legitimizing their appropriation for capitalist production. Postcolonial writers counter this by asserting the cultural and spiritual significance of land, depicting it as a living entity inseparable from community identity. Indigenous cosmologies, oral traditions, and ecological knowledge are woven into literary narratives to resist the capitalist reduction of nature to mere resource. In Ngũgĩ's novels, for instance, the land is not only a source of food but a repository of cultural memory and communal solidarity. This reassertion of alternative worldviews undermines the capitalist logic of domination and affirms the possibility of different human–nature relationships [18].

Another crucial contribution of postcolonial literature is its emphasis on ecological justice as inseparable from social justice. Extractivism disproportionately harms marginalized groups: peasants displaced from their farms, indigenous peoples whose lands are seized, women burdened with environmental labor, and workers subjected to toxic conditions. Postcolonial texts amplify these voices, revealing the uneven distribution of ecological harm. Achebe's works, for example, highlight the corruption of postcolonial elites who collaborate with global capital, while ordinary people bear the ecological and social costs. This attention to inequality aligns with Marxist analysis, which insists that environmental degradation cannot be separated from class struggle and global exploitation. By highlighting these interconnections, literature

contributes to a holistic critique of capitalism, one that integrates ecology with questions of race, class, and gender.

In addition to critique, postcolonial literature offers visions of resistance and renewal. Characters organize against corporations, communities reclaim cultural practices, and writers imagine futures beyond extractivism. These moments are often symbolic, pointing to the resilience of marginalized groups and their refusal to accept capitalist domination as inevitable. Galeano's work, for instance, is both a chronicle of exploitation and a call for solidarity, reminding readers that history is not a closed system but a field of struggle. Comparative analysis reveals that across Africa, Latin America, and Asia, literature articulates a shared commitment to resisting extractivism, even while drawing on culturally specific strategies of resistance. This transnational resonance affirms literature's role in cultivating global ecological consciousness [19].

The relevance of these literary critiques extends to our present moment of climate crisis and ecological collapse. The extractive logic that devastated colonial and postcolonial landscapes continues to drive global warming, deforestation, and biodiversity loss today. Postcolonial literature anticipates and illuminates these crises, reminding us that the roots of the climate emergency lie in centuries of capitalist exploitation. By revisiting these texts, we gain both historical depth and critical tools for confronting contemporary ecological challenges. Literature helps us see that the struggle against climate change is not only about technology or policy but also about dismantling the global structures of capitalist extraction.

In conclusion, the study of postcolonial perspectives on extractivism demonstrates the value of comparative literature for understanding the capitalist exploitation of nature. Postcolonial texts reveal how extraction operates as a systemic feature of imperial and neocolonial capitalism, intertwining ecological destruction with human exploitation. They expose the continuities between colonial conquest and contemporary globalization, dramatize the lived experiences of dispossessed communities, and resist the ideological narratives that justify exploitation. At the same time, they offer visions of resilience and alternative ecological relationships grounded in indigenous knowledge and communal solidarity [20].

From a Marxist perspective, these insights affirm that ecological crisis cannot be separated from the dynamics of global capitalism. Literature becomes a vital tool in this critique, not only documenting the destruction wrought by extractivism but also nurturing the imagination of a world beyond it. As climate change intensifies and global inequality deepens, the voices preserved in postcolonial literature remind us that the struggle for ecological justice is inseparable from the struggle against capitalist exploitation. Through comparative analysis, we recognize that these struggles are interconnected across regions and histories, forming a global archive of resistance that continues to inspire critical thought and political action.

Thus, postcolonial perspectives on extractivism enrich our understanding of capitalism's ecological contradictions and highlight the indispensable role of literature in articulating and contesting them. By listening to these narratives, we not only confront the historical violence of extraction but also engage in the urgent task of imagining more just, sustainable, and emancipatory futures [21].

Contemporary Eco-Literature and Resistance

The examination of contemporary eco-literature through a Marxist comparative lens demonstrates how literary texts in the late twentieth and twenty-first centuries have become crucial arenas of resistance to capitalist exploitation of nature. Unlike nineteenth-century industrial novels, which exposed the immediate effects of industrialization, or postcolonial texts, which documented the violence of imperial extractivism, contemporary eco-literature operates in a world where the ecological contradictions of capitalism have escalated into global crises: climate change, mass extinction, desertification, rising seas, and ecological displacement. By engaging with these urgent realities, writers articulate the cultural, political, and emotional dimensions of ecological crisis, while also resisting the dominant capitalist ideology that continues to naturalize endless growth, extractive economies, and technological domination over the environment.

One of the most significant contributions of contemporary eco-literature is its ability to dramatize the planetary scale of ecological destruction. Writers such as Amitav Ghosh, Margaret Atwood, Octavia Butler, and Kim Stanley Robinson situate environmental crisis in narratives that transcend national borders, showing how climate change and ecological collapse affect humanity as a whole while disproportionately impacting the poor, the marginalized, and the Global South. In doing so, these

works reflect the Marxist insight that ecological catastrophe is not an equalizing force but one that intensifies class and global inequalities. For example, Ghosh's *The Great Derangement* critiques the silences of modern literature on climate change and urges a rethinking of narrative forms to address the planetary emergency. Similarly, Atwood's *MaddAddam* trilogy presents a dystopian vision of biotechnological capitalism that commodifies both nature and humanity itself, pushing to the extreme the logic of profit-driven exploitation [22].

Another defining feature of eco-literature is its interrogation of capitalist ideology. Contemporary narratives consistently expose how consumerism, industrial growth, and corporate power contribute to ecological destruction. Literature portrays these not as accidents but as systemic outcomes of a capitalist world order. In Butler's *Parable of the Sower*, for instance, ecological collapse is intertwined with privatized security, social inequality, and corporate control, demonstrating how capitalist structures exacerbate environmental devastation. Such texts align closely with Marxist critiques of ideology, which reveal how dominant discourses conceal the structural violence of capitalism while presenting it as inevitable or progressive. Eco-literature resists these discourses by foregrounding their destructive consequences and by imagining alternative ways of being.

The comparative dimension of eco-literature reveals the diversity of cultural responses to ecological crisis while highlighting their shared opposition to capitalist exploitation. Indigenous writers often emphasize cosmologies of interconnectedness and stewardship, contrasting sharply with capitalist views of nature as resource. Latin American texts influenced by eco-socialist movements articulate a vision of *buen vivir* (living well) that resists both neoliberal globalization and environmental destruction. African climate fiction highlights the disproportionate vulnerability of regions exploited through colonialism and neocolonialism, situating climate change within broader structures of global injustice. Taken together, these literary traditions contribute to a collective archive of ecological resistance that transcends borders, affirming that the struggle against environmental collapse is simultaneously local and global.

A key insight from these texts is the centrality of imagination in resistance. While scientific reports and activist discourses articulate the urgency of climate change, literature gives shape to the emotional and cultural dimensions of crisis: fear, loss, resilience, and hope. By constructing speculative futures, dystopian warnings, or utopian alternatives, eco-literature opens spaces of possibility that resist the capitalist narrative of inevitability. Robinson's *Ministry for the Future*, for example, envisions radical transformations of global politics in response to climate catastrophe, suggesting that systemic change is both necessary and achievable. These imaginative interventions are not escapist but political, cultivating ecological consciousness and mobilizing readers toward envisioning post-capitalist futures [23].

Marxist theory deepens our understanding of these literary interventions by situating them within the structural contradictions of capitalism. The ecological crises depicted in contemporary literature are not random disasters but expressions of what Marx called capitalism's "absolute general law of accumulation": the drive to expand profit at the expense of both human labor and the natural environment. Contemporary eco-literature, by dramatizing rising seas, collapsing ecosystems, and mass displacements, gives narrative form to what Marxist ecologists describe as the metabolic rift between society and nature. These stories render visible the material consequences of that rift, making abstract concepts emotionally and politically legible.

Another recurring theme in eco-literature is the intersection of ecological crisis with questions of race, gender, and class. Feminist eco-literature, for instance, highlights how women often bear disproportionate burdens in times of ecological collapse, while also foregrounding their role in resistance. Postcolonial climate narratives reveal how global inequality determines who suffers most from environmental disasters, with the Global South facing the gravest consequences despite contributing the least to carbon emissions. Literature thus demonstrates that ecological resistance must also be a struggle for social justice, affirming the Marxist principle that emancipation requires addressing exploitation in all its forms [24].

This case study also highlights the risks of depoliticized ecological discourse. Mainstream narratives of environmentalism often focus on individual responsibility, consumer choice, or technological solutions, obscuring the systemic roots of the crisis. Contemporary eco-literature resists this depoliticization by insisting on structural critique. Atwood's dystopias critique corporate control over biotechnology; Butler's novels expose privatization

and inequality; Ghosh calls for reimagining narrative itself to confront climate change. Together, these texts reject neoliberal "green capitalism" and instead foreground the necessity of systemic transformation.

The conclusion of this case study is that contemporary eco-literature does far more than represent environmental collapse; it actively participates in global struggles of resistance. By situating ecological crisis within capitalist structures, these texts reveal the systemic nature of the problem and challenge ideological narratives that normalize exploitation. Comparative analysis amplifies this insight, demonstrating that across different cultures, languages, and literary forms, writers are engaged in a shared effort to critique capitalist exploitation and to envision alternatives.

Ultimately, eco-literature affirms that literature remains a vital cultural force in shaping ecological consciousness. Its power lies in the capacity to connect abstract concepts like climate change to lived human experience, to challenge ideological justifications of exploitation, and to inspire readers to imagine a world beyond capitalist destruction. Through dystopian warnings, speculative alternatives, and narratives of resistance, contemporary eco-literature cultivates the hope and urgency necessary for ecological and social transformation.

In conclusion, the study of contemporary eco-literature demonstrates that literature is not a passive reflection of environmental crisis but an active participant in resistance to capitalist exploitation of nature. It dramatizes the global consequences of ecological collapse, interrogates the capitalist ideologies that fuel it, and offers imaginative visions of resistance and renewal. From a Marxist perspective, these texts underscore that the ecological crisis is inseparable from capitalism's structural contradictions and that addressing it requires systemic change. By placing these texts in comparative dialogue with earlier traditions of industrial and postcolonial literature, we see the continuity of capitalist exploitation alongside the persistence of cultural resistance. Literature thus emerges as a crucial site of ecological struggle, bridging past, present, and future in the ongoing effort to imagine and create more just and sustainable worlds.

Capitalism, Crisis, and the Environmental Narrative

The exploration of capitalism, crisis, and environmental narrative across the comparative case studies of nineteenth-century industrial literature, postcolonial perspectives on extractivism, and contemporary eco-literature reveals a powerful continuity: the capitalist system produces ecological destruction as an inherent feature of its logic, and literature across cultures and eras has consistently engaged with this reality. By situating these literary narratives within a Marxist framework, it becomes possible to recognize that ecological degradation, far from being an accidental byproduct of progress, is a structural consequence of capitalism's insatiable drive for accumulation. Literature not only documents these crises but also challenges the ideological narratives that seek to normalize or conceal them, offering instead counter-narratives of critique, resistance, and imagination [25].

At one level, the study of industrial novels in nineteenth-century Europe demonstrates how the onset of industrial capitalism reshaped landscapes, communities, and ecosystems. Writers such as Dickens, Zola, and Gaskell vividly portrayed the smoke-filled skies, polluted rivers, and alienated workers of the industrial city. These texts reveal that capitalism's exploitation of nature is inseparable from its exploitation of labor: the degradation of the environment parallels the dehumanization of workers, both subordinated to the relentless pursuit of profit. From a Marxist perspective, these novels illustrate the early manifestations of what Marx would call the "metabolic rift," the systemic rupture in the relationship between human society and the natural world under capitalist production.

At another level, postcolonial literature reveals how extractivism—whether in the form of mining, plantation agriculture, or resource extraction—extends this capitalist logic to the Global South, intertwining ecological violence with imperial domination. Writers such as Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o, Chinua Achebe, and Eduardo Galeano expose the ways in which colonial and neocolonial powers commodify land and labor, stripping communities of both ecological resources and cultural sovereignty. These narratives demonstrate that capitalist exploitation is not confined to industrial centers but operates globally, creating zones of sacrifice in colonized regions for the enrichment of metropolitan cores. Comparative analysis shows how extractivism perpetuates inequality: communities that bear the brunt of environmental destruction are often those least responsible for global ecological crises. Here again, literature resists ideological myths—such

as the portrayal of colonized lands as "empty" or "underdeveloped"—by asserting the cultural, spiritual, and communal significance of land, and by foregrounding voices of resistance [26].

Contemporary eco-literature situates these insights within the context of planetary crisis. Climate change, mass extinction, and ecological collapse are not merely speculative futures but present realities dramatized in works by writers such as Margaret Atwood, Amitav Ghosh, Octavia Butler, and Kim Stanley Robinson. These texts highlight the intensification of capitalism's ecological contradictions in the era of globalization and neoliberalism. They show how climate change disproportionately affects marginalized populations, how corporate power exacerbates ecological degradation, and how technological "solutions" often mask deeper systemic problems. At the same time, they expand the imaginative horizons of resistance, offering speculative visions of post-capitalist futures or dramatizing the necessity of collective struggle. From a Marxist perspective, these narratives articulate the ecological consequences of capitalism's "general law of accumulation" and reveal the urgent need for systemic transformation.

Taken together, the case studies underscore the continuity and evolution of capitalist exploitation of nature. Industrial literature reveals its origins in the factory and the coal mine; postcolonial narratives expose its extension into imperial peripheries; and eco-literature demonstrates its culmination in planetary crisis. Comparative analysis allows us to trace these connections across time and space, affirming that environmental degradation is not an isolated or temporary phenomenon but a global and systemic feature of capitalism. The consistency of these themes across different literary traditions strengthens the argument that ecological crisis cannot be solved within the framework of capitalism itself, since it is capitalism's very structure that generates crisis.

Another crucial insight is the role of ideology. Across these traditions, literature exposes and resists the narratives that justify capitalist exploitation. Industrial progress, colonial development, and green capitalism all serve as ideological tools to legitimize environmental destruction. Literature counters these myths by dramatizing their human and ecological costs and by offering alternative ways of understanding the human–nature relationship. The Romantic remnants in industrial novels, the indigenous cosmologies in postcolonial texts, and the speculative imaginaries of eco-literature all function as cultural resources of resistance. They challenge the capitalist reduction of nature to resource and insist on its value as a living, relational, and communal force.

This study also emphasizes the interconnectedness of ecological and social justice. Capitalism's exploitation of nature is never separate from its exploitation of people. Workers in factories, peasants on plantations, indigenous peoples in extractive zones, and climate refugees in the twenty-first century all testify to the uneven distribution of ecological harm. Literature amplifies these voices, revealing the intersection of class, race, gender, and ecology. From a Marxist perspective, this reinforces the necessity of understanding environmental justice as inseparable from broader struggles against capitalist inequality and oppression [27].

Equally important is the recognition that literature contributes not only to critique but also to imagination. By envisioning dystopias, utopias, or renewed communal ties to land, literature cultivates ecological consciousness and inspires resistance. These imaginative interventions are not trivial; they provide cultural resources for envisioning alternatives to capitalist destruction. Marxism teaches us that capitalism is not eternal but historical, and literature helps make visible the possibility of its transformation. Comparative literary studies highlight that across cultures and traditions, writers are already engaged in this task of imagining different futures, offering global resources of hope and resistance.

In conclusion, the comparative study of capitalism, crisis, and environmental narrative demonstrates that literature is a vital tool in understanding and resisting ecological exploitation. From the industrial city to the colonial plantation to the climate-ravaged future, literature provides a cultural archive of capitalism's ecological contradictions. By adopting a Marxist perspective, we recognize that these contradictions are systemic, rooted in the very logic of capitalist accumulation. By analyzing literature comparatively, we see how these crises manifest globally while also appreciating the diverse voices that resist them [28].

The ultimate insight is that ecological crisis is inseparable from capitalist crisis, and literature plays an indispensable role in making this connection visible. Literature documents the lived experience of ecological destruction, critiques the ideologies that legitimize it, and imagines alternatives that resist it. It reminds us that capitalism is neither natural nor inevitable, and that

the struggle for ecological survival is inseparable from the struggle for social justice. In the face of accelerating climate change and global ecological collapse, the cultural work of literature becomes not only intellectually significant but socially urgent.

By tracing these narratives across traditions, we affirm that literature is not a passive reflection of the world but an active participant in shaping it. Comparative literary studies on capitalism and the environment thus contribute not only to academic debates but also to the broader cultural work of imagining and building more just, sustainable, and emancipatory futures [29].

Conclusion

This study has sought to demonstrate how comparative literature, when approached through a Marxist framework, offers critical insights into the capitalist exploitation of nature and its cultural representations. By tracing narratives from nineteenth-century European industrial novels, through postcolonial critiques of extractivism, to contemporary eco-literature, it becomes evident that ecological degradation is not an incidental byproduct of modernity but a systemic feature of capitalism. Literature across time and place both reflects these processes and challenges the ideologies that sustain them.

The nineteenth-century industrial novel illustrates the early emergence of the "metabolic rift," as landscapes were reshaped by factories, coal mines, and urban sprawl. Writers such as Dickens and Zola depicted the pollution, disease, and alienation produced by industrial capitalism, connecting human suffering with environmental destruction. These works reveal how literature can capture the dialectic of capitalist progress: technical innovation paired with ecological and social devastation.

Postcolonial perspectives extend this critique by exposing how capitalism operates globally, entangling ecological exploitation with imperial and colonial domination. The violence of extractivism—whether in plantations, mines, or oil fields—is dramatized in African and Latin American literatures, where the destruction of land is inseparable from cultural dislocation and economic dependency. Such texts resist the colonial narrative of "empty" lands awaiting development, affirming instead the deep ecological and spiritual significance of territory. They remind us that capitalist exploitation disproportionately burdens marginalized communities, especially in the Global South.

Contemporary eco-literature situates these concerns within the planetary crisis of climate change. From Atwood's dystopias to Ghosh's climate narratives, literature today interrogates the global consequences of capitalist development while imagining alternative futures. These works highlight that ecological collapse is not merely a scientific or technological issue but a profoundly social and ideological one. By dramatizing corporate power, inequality, and resistance, eco-literature insists that any meaningful ecological future must involve systemic change beyond the framework of capitalism.

Comparative analysis reveals both continuity and transformation in these narratives. Across different contexts, literature consistently identifies the capitalist reduction of nature to commodity, while also offering counter-narratives grounded in community, stewardship, and ecological imagination. This demonstrates literature's dual role: documenting the destructive realities of capitalism and envisioning emancipatory alternatives. A Marxist perspective is essential here, since it situates ecological crisis within the structural contradictions of capitalism, rather than treating it as a moral failure or technical problem alone.

In conclusion, comparative literary studies show that the exploitation of nature under capitalism is a recurring and global theme, represented in diverse ways across traditions. Literature not only reflects ecological crisis but resists it, offering critical resources for ecological consciousness and political imagination.

References

[1] Bartolovich, C. (2022). Marxist Ecology and Shakespeare. In Lye, C. & Nealon, C. (Eds.), *After Marx* (Chapter 4).

[2] Burkett, P. (1999). *Marx and Nature: A Red and Green Perspective* (2nd ed.). Palgrave. https://doi.org/10.1057/9780312299651

[3] Caffentzis, G., & Federici, S. (2014). Commons against and beyond capitalism. *Rethinking Marxism / Environmental Perspectives*, *26*(2), 125–139. https://doi.org/10.1080/08935696.2014.889573

[4] Cassegård, C. (2017). Eco-Marxism and the critical theory of nature: Two perspectives on social-ecological critique. *Critical Ecologies / Journal of Political Ecology*, *24*, 531–553.

[5] Cassegård, C. (2017). Eco-Marxism and the critical theory of nature: Two perspectives on social-ecological critique. *Journal of Political Ecology / Critical*

Ecologies, *24*, 531–553. https://doi.org/10.1080/1600910X.2017.1324502

[6] Chakrabarty, D. (2009). The climate of history: Four theses. *Critical Inquiry*, *35*(2), 197–222.

[7] Chakrabarty, D. (2021). *The Climate of History in a Planetary Age*. University of Chicago Press. https://doi.org/10.7208/chicago/9780226733050.001.0001

[8] DeLoughrey, E. (2016). Ecocriticism: Theory / practice. *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Literature*. https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780190201098.013.21

[9] Faber, D. (2021). Not all people are polluted equally: Environmental injustice, capitalism, and the distribution of harms. *New Literary History*, *52*(4), 1–20.

[10] Gorshkov, V. G., Makarieva, A. M., & Li, B.-L. (2010). Comprehending environmental and economic sustainability: Comparative analysis of stability principles in the biosphere and free market economy. *arXiv preprint* (peer-citable version).

[11] Green, J. (2023). Comparative capitalisms in the Anthropocene. *New Political Economy*, *28*(3), 338–354.

[12] Hird, M. J. (2014). The natural history of presentism: On climate, time and narrative. *Environmental Humanities*, *5*(1), 63–79.

[13] Hornborg, A. (2014). Ecological economics, Marxism, and technological progress. *Ecological Economics*, *102*, 51–59.

[14] Huebener, P. (2018). Timely ecocriticism: Reading time critically in the environmental humanities. *ISLE: Interdisciplinary Studies in Literature and Environment*, *25*(2), 327–344.

[15] James, E. (2018). Ecocriticism and narrative theory: An introduction. *English Studies / Ecocritical Special Issue*, *99*(6), 1–22.

[16] James, E. (2018). Ecocriticism and narrative theory: An introduction. *English Studies*, *99*(6), 1–22.

[17] Li, Z. (2021). Some thoughts on ecological Marxism. *Open Journal of Social Sciences*, *9*(12), 212–219.

[18] Medovoi, L. (2013). Toward an Eco-Marxist Literary Theory. In *Postmodern/Postwar: A Palgrave Companion* (pp. 79–96).

[19] Mercer, S. J. R. (2024). Ecology, politics, and the crisis of Marxism. *Capitalism, Nature, Socialism*, *35*(1), 1–18.

[20] Moore, J. W. (2015). How to read capitalism in the web of life. *Historical Materialism / Environmental Theory*, *23*(2), 3–34.

[21] Moore, J. W. (2016). Capitalism in the web of life — review and discussion (special issue / review essay). *Environmental Humanities*, *8*(1), 154–171.

[22] Moore, J. W. (2022). How to read capitalism in the web of life: Toward a world-historical materialism in the web of life. *Journal of World-Systems Research*, *28*(1), 153–168.

[23] Morinville, C. (2021). On nature, degradation, and life-making in late capitalism. *New Literary History*, *52*(1), 75–100.

[24] Newman, L. (2002). Marxism and Ecocriticism. *Interdisciplinary Studies in Literature and Environment*, *9*(2), 1–25.

[25] O'Connor, J. (1998). Natural causes: Essays in ecological Marxism (essay reprint). *Capital & Class / Ecological Critique*, *65*(1), 45–71.

[26] O'Connor, J. (2019). James Richard O'Connor's ecological Marxism. *ISLE: Interdisciplinary Studies in Literature and Environment*, *26*(4), 680–698.

[27] Payne, M. (2016). Reading Jason W. Moore: Capitalism in the web of life — critical perspectives. *Environmental Humanities Review*, *8*(2), 45–66.

[28] Sepkoski, D., & Chakrabarty, D. (2022). Reflections on planetary history and the Anthropocene. *History and Theory*, *61*(4), 1–23.

[29] Skiveren, T. T. P. (2023). New materialism and the eco-Marxist challenge: Ontological shadowboxing in the environmental humanities. *Environmental Humanities*, *15*(2), 181–194.

This journal is a double-blind peer-reviewed journal covering all areas in Humanities and Social Science field. **AJMHSS** is published quarterly (12 issues per year) online and in print. Copyright © 2025 which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.